

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. SOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/885,984	06/20/2001	David R. Daniels	P00,1904	6954	
26574 7	05/06/2003				
SCHIFF HARDIN & WAITE			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
6600 SEARS 7 233 S WACKE	ER DR		SELF, SHE	LLEY M	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3725 DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

α	
(A)\	
اللكات	
+	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/885,984	DANIELS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Shelley Self	3725			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the cerrespondence address Peri d for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 A</u>	pril 2003 .				
	s action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the ments is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,7 and 11-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,7 and 11-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	-	` '			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)					
2) Notice of References Clied (P10-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on March 5, 2003 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered and an action on the merits follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6, 7, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ferdinand et al. (4,484,608). Ferdinand discloses a router table (10, fig. 1) comprising a support (40) connected to a table top (24) that includes at least three groups of hole patterns (100, 102, 104) each group having a different hole center distances for mounting routers having different footprints (col. 6, lines 6-12), a router hole (fig. 2) positioned operably in the table with respect to the hole pattern groups.

With regard to claim 12, Ferdinand discloses the router hole is positioned substantially centrally with respect to the hole pattern of at least one of the groups.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3725

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferdinand et al. (4,484,608) alone or in view of Apolinski (6,360,798). Ferdinand does not disclose the router hole defined by the tabletop. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the router hole such that it is defined by the tabletop, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art.

Moreover, Apolinski teaches the use of router table (10) having a router hole (20) defined by the tabletop (fig. 2) wherein a plurality of mounting holes (18) are provided for mounting various routers to the table (col. 3, lines 8-9). Because the references are from a similar art and deal with a similar problem (i.e. mounting different routers to a table) it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill in the art to construct Ferdinand's router table such that the router hole is defined by the router table top and having mounting holes as taught by Apolinski so as to mount various routers to the table.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered, but are deemed moot in view of the new grounds of rejection, necessitated by the amendment. Applicant's arguments are drawn to the failure of Brekelbaum to disclose a router table having at least three sets of mounting hole patterns. The newly applied prior art reference Ferdinand, however discloses various mounting hole patterns for mounting router(s) to a table.

Application/Control Number: 09/885,984

Art Unit: 3725

Conclusion

Page 4

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure Engler, III et al. (5,452,751).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shelley Self whose telephone number is (703) 305-5299. The examiner can normally be reached Mon-Fri from 8:30am to 5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Allen Ostrager can be reached at (703) 308-3136. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

SSelf April 30, 2003

> WILLIAMS HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER